

REMARKS

Applicant has carefully reviewed and considered the Office Action mailed on 08/29/2006, and the references cited therewith.

Claims 1-39 have been cancelled. New claims 40-56 have been added.

Applicant's representative has used some terms that do not appear the specification in order to improve the clarity of the claims. In particular, the new claims recite "navigation." Applicant's representative respectfully submits that the rules and law do not constrain the claims to recite language to that in the specification as long as the claims are supported by the specification. Applicant's representative submits that the specification clearly supports the language. For instance, the examples of presentation action identifiers depicted in Figure 5 include a PgDn, PgUp, goToPage, nextParagraph, nextHeading, etc. Moving among different parts of a document is clearly navigating a document.

Request for Examiner Interview

Applicant's representative requests an Examiner Interview to discuss this amendment when convenient for the Examiner and her Supervisory Patent Examiner or a Primary Examiner. Applicant's representative invites the Examiner to contact me at 202-596-5526 to schedule the interview.

§101 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-26 have been cancelled.

§103 Rejection of the Claims

Claims 1-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryan et al. (hereinafter "Bryan"), U.S. Patent No. 6,658,414, issued December 2003, in view of Capra et al. (hereinafter "Capra"), "WebContext: Remote Access to Shared Context", ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, Vol. 15, Proceedings of the 2001 Workshop on Perceptive User

Interfaces, 2001, p. 1-9. Applicant's representative respectfully submits that none of the art of record discloses or suggests any of the pending claims.

Reservation of Rights

Applicant does not admit that documents cited under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(a), 102(e), 103/102(a), or 103/102(e) are prior art, and reserves the right to swear behind them at a later date. Arguments presented to distinguish such documents should not be construed as admissions that the documents are prior art. Applicant also reserves the right to pursue canceled and originally filed claims in a continuation application. Furthermore, Applicant does not acquiesce to any of the Examiner's assertions about the claims or the cited documents and reserves the right to argue these assertions in the future.

Conclusion

Applicant respectfully submits that the claims are in condition for allowance and notification to that effect is earnestly requested. The Examiner is invited to telephone Applicant's attorney Steven R. Gilliam at 202-596-5526 to facilitate prosecution of this application.

If necessary, please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 09-0447.

Respectfully submitted,

DeLizio Gilliam, PLLC
15201 Mason Road
Suite 1000-312
Cypress, TX 77433
281-758-0025

Date 5/19/2010 By /Steven R. Gilliam, #51734/
Steven R. Gilliam
Reg. No. 51734